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#### Abstract

A new type of tetracycline analogue has been designed to incorporate those features of the tetracycline molecule essential for antibacterial activity but with a reduction in structural complexity. These novel analogues are derivatives of 4 -dimethylamino-1.4.4a.5.10.10a-hexahydro-3.10a-dihydroxy-1.10-dioxoanthracene-2-carboxamide. An overall synthetic route has been developed involving preparation of 1.2.3.4-tetrahydro-5.8-dimethoxy-4-oxo-2-naphthylacetic acid (3: $\left.R^{1}=O M e . R^{2}=R^{3}=R^{5}=H, R^{4}=M e\right)$ : variation of the starting material should allow a range of tetracycline analogues to be prepared.


Although semi-synthesis has led to the development of tetracyclines with improved clinical properties, ${ }^{1}$ the preparation of totally synthetic analogues has been relatively neglected owing to the complexity of their synthesis, most studies having been directed towards synthesis of the natural antibiotics themselves. ${ }^{2,3}$ However, it may be possible to design compounds which are more accessible synthetically yet still incorporate the structural features essential for tetracycline activity. Consideration of the data from semi-synthesis ${ }^{4}$ shows that 6 -demethyl-6-deoxytetracycline (1) is the simplest tetracycline with antibacterial activity; there are two features essential for this activity. The first is ring A, which must be present intact since deletion of any part of the tricarbonylmethane moiety leads to a total loss of activity and removal of the dimethylamino-group leads to a loss of activity in vivo though in vitro activity is retained; centres 4a, 5 a , and 12 a must be in the ' natural ' configuration. The second essential feature is the phenol diketone moiety which is involved in chelation with magnesium ions. ${ }^{5}$ This chelation is necessary both for uptake of tetracyclines into bacterial cells ${ }^{6}$ and for their inhibition of protein synthesis. ${ }^{7}$

Compounds of general structure (2) incorporate both these features and so are potential antibacterial agents of the tetracycline type. Such compounds would be complementary to semi-synthetic tetracyclines, broadening the range of tetracycline analogues. In the development of synthetic routes to this type of compound, elaboration of ring a from precursors of general structure (3) and introduction of the hydroxy-group at the AB ring junction are well documented. ${ }^{2}$ The primary concern is therefore development of a general route to the pre-
${ }^{1}$ G. S. Redin, Antimicrobial Agents Chemother., 1966, 371 ; N. H. Steigbigel, C. W. Reed, and M. Finland, Amer. J. Med. Sci., 1968, 255, 179.
${ }_{2}$ A. I. Gurevich, M. G. Karapetyan, M. N. Kolosov, V. G. Korobko, V. V. Onoprienko, S. A. Popravko, and M. M. Shemyakin, Tetrahedron Letters, 1967, 131.
${ }_{3}$ D. H. R. Barton, Pure Appl. Chem., 1971. 25, 5: T. L. Fields, A. S. Kende, and J. H. Boothe, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1961, 88, 4612; H. Muxfeldt, G. Hardtmann, F. Kathawala, E. Vedejs, and J. B. Mooberry, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1968, 90, 6534; R. B. Woodward, Pure Appl. Chem., 1963, 6, 561.
cursor (3): the route must permit incorporation of the amino-substituent in ring a and also permit structural variation in the substituents on ring c. As an initial step, a general synthetic route was explored involving preparation of the model intermediate ( $3 ; \mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{OMe}$, $\left.\mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{R}^{5}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}^{4}=\mathrm{Me}\right) . \quad$ The key intermediate in the synthesis is 3 -( 2,5 -dimethoxybenzoyl)glutaric acid (4a), which however could not be prepared by direct acylation of an aromatic precursor. Therefore $p$-dimethoxybenzene was acylated with succinic anhydride ${ }^{8}$ and the product methylated to yield methyl 3 -(2,5-dimethoxybenzoyl)propionate (4b). This acylation was repeated with 1-phthalimidosuccinic anhydride to check the utility of the scheme for introducing a nitrogen substituent; the analogue (4c) was obtained as expected. There is a possibility of obtaining an isomeric compound (4; $\mathrm{R}^{2}=$ phthalimido, $\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{R}^{4}=\mathrm{H}$ ), though this is unlikely mechanistically, and also in view of the presence of the bulky phthalimido-group. Comparison of the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ n.m.r. data with those of compound (4b) confirmed the structure as (4c): the $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{C}: \mathrm{O}$ signal occurred at $\delta$ 3.5 [cf. 3.4 for (4b)]. Also, whereas (4b) shows a $\mathrm{CH}_{2}{ }^{-}$ $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}$ signal at $\delta 2.7$, (4c) exhibits a single proton signal at $\delta$ 4.9. The phthalimido-group is stable to subsequent reactions in the scheme envisaged, and so would be expected to act as a precursor of the dimethylamino-group in the ultimate products.

Direct alkylation of methyl 3-(2,5-dimethoxybenzoyl)propionate (4b) by ethyl bromoacetate was not achieved by using potassium t-butoxide or triphenylmethylpotassium as base. With sodium hydride a product was obtained which however retained the four methylene protons of (4b) ( $83.3-3.4$ and 2.7-2.9) and contained an

[^0]ethyl ester group [ $\delta 4.1-4.3(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q})$ and $1.2-1.4(3 \mathrm{H}$, t)]; however the n.m.r. spectrum lacked the methyl ester singlet and there was an additional two-proton singlet
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at $\delta$ 4.6. These data, particularly the excessive deshielding of the isolated methylene group ( $\delta 4.6$ ), are consistent with ethyl 3 -(2,5-dimethoxybenzoyl)propionyloxyacetate (4d). This structure was confirmed by mass spectroscopy: the spectrum showed ions due to successive fragmentation of the ester functions, with no loss of OMe or $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}$. The t-butyl ester (4e) of the starting acid was then subjected to the alkylation reaction with sodium hydride as base, but no product was obtained. Further activation $\alpha$ to the oxo-group was therefore necessary, and this was accomplished by direct carboxylation of methyl 3 -( 2,5 -dimethoxybenzoyl)propionate (4b) with methylmagnesium carbonate. ${ }^{9}$ The product, 1-methyl hydrogen 3-(2,5-dimethoxybenzoyl)succinate (4f) showed
three carbonyl peaks in the i.r. spectrum. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ n.m.r. spectrum showed a one-proton triplet at $\delta 4.7(\mathrm{CH} \cdot \mathrm{C}: \mathrm{O})$; $c f$. the two-proton triplet at $\delta 3.4$ in the spectrum of the starting ester (4b). The product (4f) was methylated with diazomethane and alkylated with ethyl bromoacetate and sodium hydride to yield the triester $(4 \mathrm{~g})$. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ n.m.r. spectrum now lacked the one proton triplet at $\delta 4.7$ but showed characteristic ethyl ester signals and a four-proton singlet at $\delta 3.15\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{R}\right)$. The triester ( 4 g ) was then hydrolysed (with concomitant decarboxylation) to 3 -(2,5-dimethoxybenzoyl)glutaric acid (4a). The structure was confirmed by the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ n.m.r. spectrum, which showed a one-proton triplet at $\delta 4.1$ ( $\mathrm{CH} \cdot \mathrm{C}: \mathrm{O}$ ) and signals for two acidic protons at $\delta 9.0$ This oxo-acid was reduced and the 3 -(2,5-dimethoxybenzyl)glutaric anhydride (5) prepared. The reduction was monitored (i) by following the disappearance of the u.v. peak at 340 nm and the appearance of a peak at 290 nm , (ii) by the disappearance of the ketone carbonyl band at $1740 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in the i.r. spectrum, and (iii) by the appearance of a two-proton signal at $\delta 2.6$ in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ n.m.r. spectrum and by the upfield shift of the signal due to the single proton $\beta$ to the aromatic ring from $\delta 4.1$ to 2.6. Formation of the anhydride (5) was confirmed by the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ n.m.r. spectrum, which showed loss of the two exchangeable acidic protons, and by the i.r. spectrum, which showed loss of the OH peak at $3100-2500 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and shift of the carbonyl peak from $1710 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (carboxylic acid) to 1820 and $1790 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (typical of anhydrides). Intramolecular acylation of the anhydride (5) then gave the model compound ( 3 ; $\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{OMe}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{R}^{5}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}^{4}=\mathrm{Me}$ ). The reaction was monitored by observing the reduction in u.v. absorbance at 290 nm and the appearance of a peak at 340 nm . The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ n.m.r. spectrum showed signals for a single acidic proton at $\delta 8.7$ and two aromatic protons at $\delta 6.8$ showing simple ortho-coupling.

Further reactions to develop ring a and convert the model precursor into tetracycline analogues of structure (2) are analogous to reactions carried out by Shemyakin's group ${ }^{2}$ for the synthesis of anhydrotetracycline from compound (3; $\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{Ph}, \mathrm{R}^{4}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}^{5}$ $=$ phthalimido) and to reactions utilised in the PfizerWoodward synthesis of 6 -demethyl-6-deoxytetracycline. ${ }^{10}$ A relatively direct potential route to tetracycline analogues of structure (2) has therefore been developed; the use of suitable aromatic precursors should lead to a range of compounds suitable for testing for antibacterial activity. Further work in this area is in progress.

EXPERIMENTAL
M.p.s were determined with a Kofler hot-stage apparatus. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ N.m.r. spectra were recorded for solutions in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, unless otherwise stated, with a Perkin-Elmer R12 ( 60 MHz ) or Varian HA $100(100 \mathrm{MHz})$ spectrometer (tetramethylsilane as internal standard).

Methyl 3-(2,5-Dimethoxybenzoyl)propionate ${ }^{8}$ (4b).-1,4Dimethoxybenzene ( 138 g ) dissolved in dry dichloromethane
${ }^{\circ}$ M. Stiles, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1959, 81, 2598.
${ }^{10}$ J. J. Korst, J. D. Johnston, K. Butler, E. J. Bianco, L. H. Conover, and R. B. Woodward, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1968, 90 , 439.
( 1300 ml ) was cooled to $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and a mixture of anhydrous aluminium chloride ( 300 g ) and succinic anhydride ( 130 g ) was added without allowing the temperature to exceed $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 3 days at room temperature the complex was decomposed with ice ( 1 l ) and conc. hydrochloric acid ( 200 ml ), the aqueous layer was extracted exhaustively with ether, and the combined extracts and organic layer from the reaction were extracted with sodium hydroxide solution ( $2 \%$ ). The basic extracts were acidified and extracted with ether, and the latter extracts were evaporated in vacuo to give an oil which crystallised from water (yield $150 \mathrm{~g}, 67 \%$ ); m.p. $102{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit., ${ }^{8} 101-102{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ), $\nu_{\text {max. }} 1780(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 1715(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$, and $1610 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (aromatic), $\delta 10.3(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, exchangeable with $\left.\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 7.3-6.95(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, aromatic), $3.85(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OMe}), 3.75$ ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OMe}$ ), $3.4\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{CO}\right)$, and $2.7\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{CO}_{2}\right)$.

The methyl ester was prepared in $72 \%$ yield with diazomethane; m.p. $55{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \nu_{\text {max. }} 1730$ and $1660(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$, and 1610 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (aromatic), $\delta 7.3-6.9(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, aromatic), $3.8(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, OMe ), 3.7 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OMe}$ ), 3.6 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}$ ), 3.4 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{CO}\right)$, and $2.7\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{CO}_{2}\right)$.

3-(2,5-Dimethoxybenzoyl)-2-phthalimidopropionic Acid (4c).-L-N-Phthaloylaspartic anhydride, prepared as reported ${ }^{11}$ (yield $74 \%$ ), had m.p. $219^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit., $219-221{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). $p$-Dimethoxybenzene ( 4.8 g ) was dissolved in dry dichloromethane ( 50 ml ) and a mixture of anhydrous aluminium chloride ( 10 g ) and L -phthaloylaspartic anhydride ( 10 g ) was added slowly over $\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~h}$, with the temperature kept below $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was left at $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 week and then poured onto ice. The lower, organic layer was extracted with sodium hydroxide solution ( $2 \%$ ), and the basic extracts were acidified and exhaustively extracted with chloroform; evaporation of the organic extracts in vacuo gave the phthali-mido-derivative as a white solid ( $3.99 \mathrm{~g}, 30 \%$ ), m.p. 149 $151^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \nu_{\max .} 1740,1710$, and $1660 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), \delta\left[\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}\right]$ $8.5\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}\right.$, exchangeable with $\left.\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 7.1-7.5(7 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, aromatic), $4.9(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{CH}), 3.9(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OMe}), 3.8(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OMe})$, and $3.5\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$ (Found: C, 62.9 ; H, 4.8 ; N, $3.4 \%$; $M^{+}, 383.0999 . \quad \mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO}_{7}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 62.6 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.5 ; \mathrm{N}$, $3.7 \%$; $M, 383.1005$ )

Ethyl [3-(2,5-Dimethoxybenzoyl)propionyloxy]acetate (4d). -Methyl 3-(2,5-dimethoxybenzoyl)propionate ( 2 g ) was dissolved in freshly distilled 1,2 -dimethoxyethane ( 20 ml ). A $50 \%$ dispersion of sodium hydride in oil ( 0.33 g ) was added, followed over 30 min by a solution of ethyl bromoacetate $(1.3 \mathrm{~g})$ in 1,2 -dimethoxyethane ( 10 ml ), with the temperature of the mixture maintained between 50 and $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was maintained at this temperature with stirring for a further 1 h , then poured into water and extracted with ether. The extracts were dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$ and evaporated in vacuo. The residual oil was washed with hexane and the product analysed by g.l.c. [ 2 m column of $2 \frac{1}{2} \%$ SE 30 on AWDMCS Chromosorb (80- 100 mesh) at $225{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; 27 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{min}^{-1}$ nitrogen carrier gas], which showed a small peak at $t_{\mathrm{R}} 6 \mathrm{~min}$ (starting material) and a large peak at $t_{\mathrm{R}} 22 \mathrm{~min}$. The second component was isolated in a pure state (g.l.c.) by chromatography on silica gel with etherlight petroleum (b.p. $40-60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) as eluant. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ N.m.r. analysis of the purified product showed an ethyl ester group [ $\delta$ $4.1-4.3(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q})$ and $1.2-1.4(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t})]$ but the methylene signals of the starting material were still present [ $\delta 3.3-3.4$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t})$ and $2.7-2.9(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t})$ ]. Additional peaks were at $\delta 6.9-7.3\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}\right.$, aromatic), $4.6\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, and 3.75-3.85 ( $2 \times 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, 2 \times \mathrm{OMe}$ ). The singlet methylene group at $\delta 4.6$ confirmed the structure (4d). The product showed $m / e 324\left(M^{+}\right), 279\left(M-\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 251(M-$
$\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), $221\left(M-\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, and $165(M-$ $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), $\nu_{\max .} 1670,1740$, and $1760 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$ (Found: $\mathrm{C}, 58.7$; $\mathrm{H}, 6.2$. Calc. for $\left.\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{O}_{7}: \mathrm{C}, 59.2 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.2 \%\right)$.
$t$-Butyl 3-(2,5-Dimethoxybenzoyl)propionate (4e).-3-(2,5Dimethoxybenzoyl) propionic acid ( 5 g ) was suspended in dichloromethane ( 20 ml ) and liquid isobutene ( 10 g ) was added. Concentrated sulphuric acid ( 1 ml ) was then added and the mixture shaken at room temperature in a pressure flask until a clear solution had been formed. The organic solution was washed with sodium hydrogen carbonate solution, dried ( $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ ), and evaporated in vacuo. The residue was recrystallised from light petroleum (b.p. $60-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ), yielding cream-coloured crystals ( $\delta 3.8 \mathrm{~g}, 62 \%$ ), m.p. $70-$ $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, v_{\max } 1720$ and $1660 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), \delta 6.9-7.2(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, aromatic), $3.8-3.9(2 \times 3, \mathrm{~s}, 2 \times \mathrm{OMe}), 3.2-3.4(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 2.5-2.7 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), and $1.4\left(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Bu}^{\mathrm{t}}\right.$ ) (Found: $\mathrm{C}, 64.9 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.6 \%$; $M^{+}, 294.1464$. $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ requires C , 65.3 ; H, $7.5 \%$; $M$, 294.1467).

1-Methyl Hydrogen 3-(2,5-Dimethoxybenzoyl)succinate (4f). -Methyl 3-(2,5-dimethoxybenzoyl)propionate ( 10 g ) was added to a solution of methylmagnesium carbonate ${ }^{10}$ in dimethylformamide ( 100 ml ). The mixture was stirred under nitrogen, heated to $110-120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and kept at this temperature for 6 h , with addition of dimethylformamide to maintain constant volume. The viscous mixture was cooled and poured with vigorous stirring into a mixture of ice (200 $\mathrm{g})$ and concentrated hydrochloric acid ( 20 ml ). The mixture was extracted with ether and the extracts then extracted with sodium hydroxide solution ( $2 \%$ ). The basic extracts were acidified and extracted with ether, and the bulked ethereal extracts were dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$ and evaporated in vacuo. The product was crystallised from ether-light petroleum (b.p. $60-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) to give the half-ester ( 4 f ) ( $7.3 \mathrm{~g}, 60 \%$ ), $\nu_{\max } 1740,1780$, and $1790(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$ and $3100-2500 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ $(\mathrm{OH})$, m.p. $114-116{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \delta 9.8 \mathrm{br}\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}\right), 6.8-7.5$ ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, aromatic), $4.6-4.9$ ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}$, methine), $3.9(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\mathrm{OMe}), 3.8(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OMe}), 3.7\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}\right)$, and $2.9-3.1$ $\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$. The half-ester (4f) was immediately methylated with diazomethane to give the dimethyl ester ( 5.2 g , overall yield $42 \%$ ), m.p. $74-76{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \delta 6.8-7.5(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, aromatic), 4.6-4.9 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}$, methine), 3.9 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OMe}$ ), $3.8(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OMe}), 3.7\left(2 \times 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, 2 \times \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}\right)$, and $2.9-3.1$ $\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$ (Found: C, $57.8 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.7 \% ; M^{+}, 310.1005$. $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 58.1 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.8 \% ; M, 310.1052$ ).

Ethyl Methyl 3-(2,5-Dimethoxybenzoyl)-3-methoxycarbonylglutarate $(4 \mathrm{~g})$.-A $53 \%$ dispersion of sodium hydride in oil $(2.1 \mathrm{~g})$ was added dropwise to a stirred solution of the foregoing dimethyl ester ( 6.0 g ) in dimethylformamide ( 50 ml ) under-nitrogen. A solution of ethylbromoacetate ( 8.8 g ) in dimethylformamide ( 50 ml ) was added over $\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~h}$, and the mixture stirred overnight at room temperature, acidified, poured into water, and extracted with chloroform. The organic extracts were washed with water, dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, and evaporated in vacuo. The product was washed with hexane and purified by chromatography on silica gel [elution with ether-light petroleum (b.p. $40-60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ )] (yield $5.2 \mathrm{~g}, 67 \%$ ), $v_{\text {max. }} 1740,1760$, and $1790 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), \delta 6.8-7.0(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, aromatic), $3.7-4.1\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, \mathrm{MeCH}_{2}\right), 3.55(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OMe}), 3.6$ ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OMe}$ ), $3.5(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}), 3.15\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, 2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, and $0.95-1.22\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$ (Found: C, $57.9 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.0 \%$; $M^{+}, 396.1415 . \quad \mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{9}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 57.6 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.0 \% ; M$, 396.1420 ).

[^1] Trav. chim., 1960, 79, 688.

3-(2,5-Dimethoxybenzoyl)glutaric Acid (4a).-A mixture of the triester $(4 \mathrm{~g})(5 \mathrm{~g})$ in acetic acid ( 6 ml ), conc. sulphuric acid ( 2 ml ), and water ( 2 ml ) was refluxed for 24 h , then poured into water ( 50 ml ) and extracted with ethyl acetate. The extract was washed with water, dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, and evaporated in vacuo; trituration with ether gave a cream solid which was recrystallised from ether; yield $3 \mathrm{~g}(80 \%)$, m.p. $141-143{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \nu_{\text {max. }} 1670,1710$, and $1740(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$ and $3100-2500 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{OH}), \delta 8.9-9.2(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, exchangeable with $\left.\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 6.9-7.15(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, aromatic), $4.0-4.2(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}$, methine), 3.65 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OMe}$ ), $3.75(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OMe})$, and $2.5-$ $2.8\left(4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), M^{+} 278.0796\left[\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{O}_{6}\right.$, corresponding to the anhydride ( $M$ 278.0790)], $m / e 278\left(M-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$, $218\left(M-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}-[\mathrm{CO}]_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), \quad 204\left(M-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}-[\mathrm{CO}]_{2} \mathrm{O}-\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, and $165\left(M-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{CH}\left[\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}\right]_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$.

3-(2,5-Dimethoxybenzyl)glutaric Anhydride (5).-3-(2,5Dimethoxybenzoyl)glutaric acid ( 3 g ) was added to zinc amalgam ( 10 g ) and conc. hydrochloric acid ( 10 ml ); the mixture was heated under reflux for 16 h , allowed to cool, and extracted with ethyl acetate. The bulked extracts were dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$ and evaporated in vacuo to yield 3-(2,5dimethoxybenzyl)glutaric acid ( $2.8 \mathrm{~g}, 94 \%$ ), $\nu_{\text {max. }} 1710$ (C=O) and $3100-2500 \mathrm{~cm}^{-\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{OH}), \delta\left[\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CO}\right] 9.3-9.5(2 \mathrm{H}$, s , exchangeable with $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ), $6.6-6.8$ ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, aromatic), 3.65 $(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OMe}), 3.6(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OMe}), 2.5-2.7\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$ $+\mathrm{CH})$, and $2.2-2.4\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$. The acid was heated under reflux with acetic anhydride ( 35 ml ) for 1 h . The excess of acetic anhydride was evaporated off under reduced pressure to yield the anhydride (5), $v_{\text {max. }} 1820$ and
$1790 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (anhydride $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ ) (Found: C, 63.3; $\mathrm{H}, 6.0 \%$; $M^{+}$, 264.1003. $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 63.6 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.1 \%$; $M$, 264.0998).

1,2,3,4-Tetrahydro-5,8-dimethoxy-4-oxo-2-naphthylacetic
Acid $\left(3 ; \quad \mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{OMe}, \quad \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{R}^{5}=\mathrm{H}, \quad \mathrm{R}^{4}=\mathrm{Me}\right)$.The anhydride (5) ( 2.5 g ) was dissolved in dichloromethane $(20 \mathrm{ml})$. Nitrobenzene ( 10 ml ) and anhydrous aluminium chloride ( 4 g ) were added slowly over $\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~h}$, with the temperature maintained below $10{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was kept at room temperature for 48 h and poured onto ice ( 40 g ). The aqueous layer was extracted with ethyl acetate and the bulked extracts and the organic layer from the original mixture were extracted with sodium hydroxide solution ( $2 \%$ ). The combined basic extracts were acidified and extracted with ethyl acetate; the latter extracts were dried ( $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ ) and evaporated in vacuo to yield the required acid, $\nu_{\text {max. }} 1710$ and $1730 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{CO}), \delta 8.6-8.7(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, exchangeable with $\left.\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 6.8-6.9(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, aromatic), $3.7(3 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OMe}), 3.6(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OMe}), 2.3-2.8\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}+\mathrm{CH}\right)$, and $2.1-2.3\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$. The acid forms a resin in air; it was characterised as its methyl ester (prepared with diazomethane) [ 1.1 g , overall yield $34 \%$ from (5)], m.p. 105 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $\nu_{\text {max. }} 1710$ and $1730 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), \delta 6.8-6.9(2 \mathrm{H}$, d, aromatic), $3.7(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OMe})$, $3.6(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OMe}), 3.5(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}\right), 2.3-2.8\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}+\mathrm{CH}\right), 2.1-2.3(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ) (Found: C, 64.4; H, 6.2\%; $M^{+}, 278.1143$. $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 64.8 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.0 \%$; $M, 278.1154$ ).
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